

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/754,149	01/09/2004	Jacobus de Bruijn	4978-67652	7462
24197 KLAROUIST	7590 08/24/2007 SPARKMAN, LLP		EXAM	INER
121 SW SALMON STREET		PARA, ANNETTE H		
SUITE 1600 PORTLAND, OR 97204			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1661	
	•			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/24/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/754,149	BRUIJN, JACOBUS DE
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Annette H. Para	1661
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communion.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, I Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reation. Ty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become AB	CATION.  eply be timely filed  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a)    This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)    Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice up 1	☐ This action is non-final.  allowance except for formal matte	•
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 44-47 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 44-47 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Ex 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[ Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	vithdrawn from consideration.  and/or election requirement.  caminer.  accepted or b) objected to to to the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International I * See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received. uments have been received in Ap ne priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage
•		
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-93)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413) )/Mail Date formal Patent Application 

Art Unit: 1661

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

The text of those sections of Title 35 USC not included in this Action can be found in the previous Office Action sent on 12/1/2006.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 44-47 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Status of Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

In the previous Office action, dated 1 December 2006, claims 44-47 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Siegel et al. (Journal of Chemical Ecology, Vol. 16 No. 12, 1990), taken with the evidence of Salminen et al. and Rottinghaus et al.

The rejections of claims 44-47 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b), based on Siegel et al., are hereby withdrawn in view of the comments submitted by Applicants at the bottom of Page 4 of the remarks submitted with the amendment. Specifically, applicants correct the examiner's assumption that Siegel et al. teaches parts per billion (ppb) whereas, in fact, Siegel et al. teaches parts per million (ppm.) Accordingly, the claims are not anticipated.

### Status of Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

In the previous Office action, dated 1 December 2006, claims 44-47 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101 because the claimed invention was directed to non-statutory subject matter.

The rejections of claims 44-47, under 35 U.S.C. § 101, are hereby withdrawn in view of the amendment to claim 44 requiring the endophyte to be isolated.

Art Unit: 1661

### Status of Claim Rejections Enablement

In the previous Office action, dated 1 December 2006, claims 44-47 were rejected under Enablement.

The rejections of claims 44-47, under Enablement, are hereby withdrawn in view of the fact the applicant is willing to make a deposit of the claimed endophyte.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

#### Written Description

Claims 44-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The specification as originally filed does not provide support for the invention as now claimed. This is a **New Matter** rejection for the following reasons: the specification does not appear to provide an adequate written description of the isolation of the claimed endophyte. The instants claims now recite limitations (isolated), which were not clearly disclosed in the specification and claims as filed, and now change the scope of the instant disclosure as filed. Such limitations recited in the present claims, which did not appear in the specification or original claims, as filed, introduce new concepts and violate the description requirement of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112. If applicant amends to remove the word "isolated" from the claims it could cause the claims to be rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101.

Art Unit: 1661

### Summary

No claim is allowed.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action.

Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

# Future Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Annette H. Para whose telephone number is (571) 272-0982. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 5:30 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anne Marie Grunberg, can be reached at (571) 272-0975. The fax number for the organization where the application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information

Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either the Private

Application/Control Number: 10/754,149

Art Unit: 1661 .

PAIR or the Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through the Private PAIR

only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions

Page 5

on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Annette H Para

/Wendy C. Haas/

Primary Patent Examiner, AU 1661